Developing Vision Systems with Dissimilar Sensors: Integrating Image, Radar, and Time-of-Flight Sensors in Embedded Applications

A Lattice Semiconductor White Paper.

September 2019

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary

Drones, intelligent cars and augmented or virtual reality (AR/VR) headsets all use multiple image sensors, often of different types, to capture data about their operating environment. To supply the image data the system needs, each sensor requires a connection to the system's application processor (AP), which presents design challenges for embedded engineers. First, APs have a finite number of I/O ports available for connecting with sensors, so I/O ports must be carefully allocated to ensure all discrete components requiring a connection to the AP have one. Secondly, drone and AR/VR headsets have small form factors and use batteries for power, so components used in these applications must be as small and power efficient as possible.

One solution to the AP's shortage of I/O ports is the use of Virtual Channels, as defined in the MIPI Camera Serial Interface-2 (CSI-2) specification, which can consolidate up to 16 different sensor streams into a single stream that can then be sent to the AP over just one I/O port. The hardware platform of choice for a Virtual Channel implementation is the field programmable gate array (FPGA). Alternative hardware platforms take a long time to design, and may not have the low power performance needed for applications like drones or AR/VR headsets. Some would argue that FPGAs have too large a footprint and consume too much power to be a feasible platform for Virtual Channel support. But advances in semiconductor design and manufacturing are enabling a new generation of smaller, more power efficient FPGAs.

Situational Overview

The growing demand among consumers for drones, intelligent cars and AR/VR headsets is driving tremendous growth in the sensor market. Semico Research sees automotive (27 percent CAGR), drone (27 percent CAGR) and AR/VR headset (166 percent CAGR) applications as the primary demand drivers for sensors, and forecasts semiconductor OEMs will ship over 1.5 billion image sensors a year by 2022.

The applications mentioned above require multiple sensors to capture data about the application's operating environment. For example, an intelligent car could use several high-definition image sensors for the rearview and surround cameras, a LIDAR sensor for object detection and a radar sensor for blind spot monitoring.

Figure 1: In today's intelligent cars, sensors (radar/lidar, image, time-of-flight, etc.) enable applications like emergency braking, rearview cameras and collision avoidance.

This proliferation of sensors presents a problem as all of these sensors need to send data to the car's AP, and the AP has a finite number of I/O ports available. More sensors also increase the density of wired connections to the AP on the device's circuit board, which creates design footprint challenges in smaller devices like headsets.

One solution to the AP's shortage of I/O ports is the use of Virtual Channels. Virtual Channels consolidate video streams from different sensors into a single stream that can be sent to the AP over a single I/O port. A popular current standard for connecting camera sensors to an AP is the MIPI Camera Serial Interface-2 (CSI-2) specification developed by the MIPI Alliance. CSI-2 can combine up to 16 different data streams into one by using the CSI-2 Virtual Channel function. However, combining streams from different images sensors into one video stream presents several challenges.

Challenges of Enabling Virtual Channels

Combining sensor data from the same type of sensor into one channel is not a complicated proposition. The sensors can be synchronized and their data streams concatenated so they can be sent to the AP as one image with twice the width. The challenge arises from the need to combine the data streams of different sensors. For example, a drone could use a high-resolution image sensor for object detection during daylight operation, and a lower-resolution IR sensor to capture heat patterns for object detection at night. These sensors have different frame rates, resolutions and bandwidths that cannot be synchronized. In order to keep track of the different video streams, every CSI-2 data packet needs to be tagged with a Virtual Channel identifier so the AP can process each packet as needed.

Figure 2: Virtual Channels combine data streams from multiple sensors to conserve I/O ports. Data streams from different sensors require processing to synchronize clock rates and output frequencies.

In addition to packet tagging, combining data streams from different types of sensors also requires the sensor data payload be synchronized. If the sensors operate at different clock speeds, separate clock domains need to be maintained for each sensor. These domains are then synchronized before being output to the AP.

Virtual Channels Require a Dedicated Hardware Bridge for Processing

Implementing a bridge solution for supporting Virtual Channels in hardware can address the issues described above. A dedicated Virtual Channel bridge means all image sensors connect to the bridge's I/O port so the bridge can connect to the AP over a single port, freeing up valuable AP ports to support other peripherals. This also addresses the design footprint challenge created by tracing multiple connections between sensors and the AP on the device's circuit board; the bridge consolidates those multiple traces to the AP. FPGAs allow for the implementation of parallel data paths for each sensor input, with each path in its own clock domain. These domains are synchronized in the Virtual Channel Merge stage as seen in the figure below, removing the processing burden from the AP.


Figure 3: To minimize the I/O ports used to connect sensors and the AP, a hardware bridge with Virtual Channel support consolidates multiple sensor streams for delivery over a single I/O port.

Benefits of PLD-based Virtual Channel Hardware

When it comes to implementing Virtual Channel support in hardware, the most compelling integrated circuit (IC) platform choice is the FPGA. FPGAs are ICs with flexible I/O ports that can support a wide variety of interfaces, and have large logic arrays that can be programmed with hardware description languages such as Verilog. Unlike ASICs, which require lengthy design and QA processes, FPGAs have already been QA-qualified for manufacturing and can be designed in days or weeks. However, traditional FPGAs are typically viewed as physically large, power hungry devices that aren't not well suited for power constrained embedded applications. Until now.

The CrossLink™ family of FPGAs from Lattice Semiconductor provides the right combination of performance, size and power consumption for video bridge applications utilizing Virtual Channels. They offer two 4-lane MIPI D-PHY transceivers operating at up to 6 Gbps per PHY and a form factor as small as 6 mm2. They support up to 15 programmable source synchronous differential I/O pairs such as MIPI-D-PHY, LVDS, sub-LVDS, and even single ended parallel CMOS, yet consume less than 100 mW in many applications. The CrossLink FPGA family supports sleep mode to reduce power usage in standby. Lattice also provides a comprehensive software IP library to help customers more quickly implement different types of bridging solutions.

Summary

Virtual Channels enabled by the MIPI Camera Serial Interface-2 (CSI-2) specification help embedded engineers consolidate multiple sensor data streams over a single I/O port, reducing overall design footprint and power consumption for applications using large numbers of images sensors. By virtue of their reprogrammability, performance and size, low power FPGAs like Lattice Semiconductor's CrossLink family let customers add support for Virtual Channels to their device designs quickly and easily.

Learn more:

www.latticesemi.com

Contact us online:

www.latticesemi.com/contact
www.latticesemi.com/buy